International Journal of Healthcare Sciences ISSN 2348-5728 (Online)
Vol. 5, Issue 2, pp: (56-61), Month: October 2017 - March 2018, Available at: www.researchpublish.com

The Benefits of Extended Lymphadenectomy for Colorectal Cancer: Review

[1]Ahmad Mosalem Alatwai, [2]Abdullah Noman Alatawi, [3]Abdulrahman Awaji Alnami, [4]Ahmad Hassan Alhazmi, [5]Awaji Qasim Alnaami

Abstract: **Main goal of current paper was to discuss the most important aspect of the benefits of extended lymphadenectomy for colorectal cancer, also to highlight the most appropriate situations that this decision has to be taken, in which beneficial for the patients than any other methods. We performed an electronic literature search of Medline, and EMBASE, for studies involving the extended lymphadenectomy in case of colorectal cancer surgical treatment published up to August, 2017. English language limits in our search strategy MeSH terms were used as following, using a combination of subject headings incorporating "colorectal cancer," "extended lymph," lymphadenectomy," "surgical intervention". There are several studies concerns that restrict the analysis of research studies seeking to assess the fringe benefit that prolonged lymphadenectomy offers over that provided by thorough conventional surgical treatment for colon cancer cells. The importance of intestines cancer lymph node hosting could not be over-emphasized. We have reviewed much of the disputes connected with this difficult location as well as offered advice regarding the logical application of added methods. In addition, while it could be really hoped that SLN mapping may help in conquering this trouble, this strategy elevates a lot more questions compared to it answers because of numerous reported technological protocols that limit a crucial assessment of this strategy.**

Keywords: **SLN, colorectal cancer, lymphadenectomy.**

1. INTRODUCTION

Colorectal cancer has been estimated as the third most common type of cancer amongst guys, with 746,000 brand-new instances, and the 2nd most common among women, with 614,000 brand-new situations. Greater than 50% are observed in more established regions. The geographic patterns are comparable for both sexes, with men having a higher incidence in a lot of populations [1]. Surgical treatment continues to be the most reliable treatment for colon cancer disease, and the variety of lymph nodes surgically removed is straight associated with patient survival [2], [3].

The presence of lateral pelvic lymph node metastases in anal cancer wased initially reported in the 1950s [4]. Nodal participation has consequently been demonstrated to detrimentally affect the diagnosis [5], [6], [7]. leading to greater occurrence of local recurrence as well as lowered survival [5], [6], [7]. Lateral pelvic lymph node metastases (along the obturator, internal iliac and medial aspect of the external iliac artery) have actually been reported to be associated with 10- - 25% of patients operated for anal cancer cells [4], [5], [6]. Based upon these searchings for, doctors in Japan have actually adopted the strategy of side pelvic sidewall (prolonged) lymphadenectomy (EL) to supplement conventional anal surgery, intending to minimize regional recurrence prices and also improve the cancer-specific survival [5], [6], [7].

There are numerous prognostic variables besides lymph node condition standing that additionally identify patients who may gain from adjuvant treatment. These consist of venous intrusion, peri-neural invasion, tumor perforation, serosal participation and also incomplete resection [8], [9]. The significance of performing an appropriate lymphadenectomy as part of a curative colonic cancer resection has been valued for over a 100 years, with existing surgical technique affected by the currently widely accepted principle that the dominant lymphatic channels of each colonic sector follow the main vascular pedicle [8]. Consequently, the use of a much more limited segmental colonic resection has actually traditionally

been discouraged because of the danger that lymph nodes nurturing metastatic deposits may be missed out on, with the possibility for increased rates of local reappearance or metastatic disease [9].

Main goal of current paper was to discuss the most important aspect of the benefits of extended lymphadenectomy for colorectal cancer, also to highlight the most appropriate situations that this decision has to be taken, in which beneficial for the patients than any other methods.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

We performed an electronic literature search of Medline, and EMBASE, for studies involving the extended lymphadenectomy in case of colorectal cancer surgical treatment published up to August, 2017. English language limits in our search strategy MeSH terms were used as following, using a combination of subject headings incorporating "colorectal cancer," "extended lymph," lymphadenectomy," "surgical intervention".

3. DISCUSSION

Assessment modality of lymph node status:

Accurate pathological staging, with or without the support of prolonged lymphadenectomy and/or SLN mapping, is a vital aspect that influences the professional choice to offer adjuvant therapy to patients adhering to colon cancer cells resection. Additionally, meticulous discovery of occult micro metastatic illness upstages patients and also has a substantial impact on survival outcome steps via stage migration [10], [11]. Nodal standing has been demonstrated by some writers as being a better forecaster compared to other genetic as well as histopathological pens [12], with the optimum number of recovered lymph nodes being suggested to array in between 12 and 16 [13]. The pathological features of a primary tumor, nevertheless, continue to be seriously crucial as well as might in fact predict nodal involvement [14]. Notably, the number of involved nodes boosts with the number of recovered nodes, with aspects influencing nodal retrieval including size of the resected specimen, patient age, as well as area of the tumor [15]. Increased nodal returns have actually been reported for tumors displaying microsatellite instability and also proximal cancers cells [15].

Lymph node staging systems:

There are several tumor staging systems, of which the TNM hosting system is the most widely utilized worldwide. It appears self-evident that lymph node metastasis suggests the visibility of tumor cells within a lymph node. The specific interpretation of various types of concern is critical. Metastatic disease is commonly sub-classified right into isolated tumour cells (ITCs, < 0.2 mm), micrometastases (defined as > 0.2 mm however < 2 mm) and macrometastases (≥ 2 mm). Extra recently, the idea of molecular positivity has been introduced. The classification of nodal illness (N-stage) under the present 7th edition of the TNM staging system (TNM7) is summed up in [**Table 1**]. Comprehensive analysis of the modifications wrought by the 2 latest TNM editions exists in other places [16], [17]. In the 6th version (TNM6) [18]. of the TNM staging system, separated tumor cells became classified as N0 for the objectives of grouping tumors right into AJCC phase I to IV, unlike N1 in the 5th version (TNM5) [19]. Second of all, extra-mural down payments are difficult to categorize. In a research of 69 tumor deposits, step areas were carried out on what was at first identified as tumor down payments. A significant proportion were discovered to stand for various other patterns of tumour spread [20]. The 3 mm regulation" stipulated in TNM5 was not based on published information, however had the advantage of being unbiased as well as reproducible [21], unlike the analysis of" shape" presented in the 6th version (TNM6) [22].

Table 1: Nodal staging in the 7th edition of the tumor node metastasis staging system

N Stage	Description
NX	Regional lymph nodes cannot be assessed
N0	No regional lymph node metastasis
N0 (i-)	No regional lymph node metastases histologically, negative IHC
N0 (i+)	Isolated tumour cells, identified by H&E and/or IHC
N0 (mol-)	No regional lymph node metastases histologically, negative molecular findings (RT-PCR)
N0 (mol+)	Positive molecular findings (RT-PCR), but no regional lymph node metastases detected by histology or IHC

N Stage	Description
N1mi	Micrometastases
N1	Metastasis in 1-3 regionl lymph nodes
N1a	Metastasis in 1 regional lymph node
N1b	Metastasis in 2-3 regional lymph nodes
N1c	Tumor deposit(s) in the subserosa, mesentery, or nonperitonealized pericolic or perirectal tissues without regional nodal metastasis
N2	Metastasis in four or more regional lymph nodes
N2b	N2b Metastasis in seven or more regional lymph nodes
N2a	N2a Metastasis in 4-6 regional lymph nodes

Type of Extended lymphadenectomy surgery:

Laparoscopic and laparoscopic-assisted surgery is significantly the default medical technique to intestines cancer cells resection. Superior peri-operative healing and also oncological equivalence has actually been demonstrated by a number of randomised regulated trials, including no significant difference in lymph node counts [23]. Much of techniques explained over can be accomplished laparoscopically, e.g., CME [24], [25], [26], although randomized controlled tests are difficult to embark on. Laparoscopic CME for that reason still lacks a persuading body of encouraging evidence. The information on robot surgical procedure are promising [27], however presently only consists of a solitary randomized-controlled test.

A variety of procedures can be carried out intra-operatively to help in lymph node staging. As previously reviewed, lymphatic mapping involves injecting a tracer at the tumor site, which follows lymphatics and also facilitates recognition of lymph nodes [24], including the guard lymph node. SLNs can be excised intra-operatively and for instant results, can be subject to icy section histological evaluation or OSNA [28] Other innovations that supply immediate intra-operative results are the topic of on-going research, e.g., optical comprehensibility tomography as well as actual time electrography [29].

Outside these methods, the default histological evaluation is executed on areas reduced after formalin-fixation and paraffin embedding of the SLN. The outcomes are as a result not readily available to affect prompt operative management. The exception is where the lymphatic mapping process determines tracer in "aberrant" lymph node area. The specialist could decide to example the abnormal lymph nodes or execute more extreme lymphadenectomy. In 2 studies, in vivo lymphatic mapping altered the procedure in 9% and 22% of situations respectively [29,30].

Extended lymphadenectomy technique, therapeutic and prognostic beneficial for patients:

Following dissection and mobilisation of the sigmoid and also descending colon, the substandard mesenteric artery as well as vein were ligated and divided high (in primary resections as well as persistent procedures if not done formerly). The small digestive tract was mirrored and also shielded to enable direct exposure of the pelvis to allow the initiation of the lateral breakdown. The retroperitoneum was dissected at the level of the bifurcation of the aorta subjecting the origin of the common iliac arteries. The lateral lymph node dissection was carried out the same way as it was formerly described in 1989 by Moriya [31]. The bifurcation of the usual iliac artery was identified and the internal iliac artery was mapped down in the direction of the reduced hips. The lateral pelvic lymphadenectomy was done either en bloc with the interior iliac vessels or by preserving them, relying on the proximity of tumor to the interior iliac artery. This choice was frequently guided by preoperative evaluation at the local colorectal multidisciplinary team conference.

The breakdown of the lateral pelvic sidewall lymph nodes commenced with the dissection of the interior iliac vessels from the median structures. The branches of the internal iliac vessels were recognized, ligated as well as divided as near to their origin as feasible. For the cases that the tumor was close to the iliac artery, the side pelvic sidewall lymphadenectomy was executed en bloc with the resected sampling including the unilateral internal iliac artery and also conservation of the remarkable vesical artery and also the obturator nerve, preferably.

When the side pelvic sidewall lymphadenectomy was performed to eliminate lesions dubious for hatred or separated deadly lateral lymph nodes, the nerve protecting approach was used (traditional side breakdown) [31]. The sampling was resected en bloc with the tumor while the side pelvic sidewall spaces were opened between the lateral facet of the internal

iliac vessels as well as the pelvic sidewall, exposing the lateral lymphatic tissue and also making it possible for the harvesting of lymph nodes. Using the nerve preserving method, the obturator nerve as well as interior iliac vessels with their branches were preserved without disturbing the sacral nerve plexuses.

How much cells to remove is directed by the interpretation of the fundamental objective of lymphadenectomy. There are different sights on whether it is straight restorative or whether it offers generally hosting and also prognostication [32]. The version upheld by Halsted at the end of the 19th century thinks sequential as well as step-wise spread of tumor in an outward direction from the primary site. Radical surgical treatment to remove all tumor not just offers staging information, however likewise potentially treatments the tumor. standard presumes systemic spread might happen early in tumor development and that enhanced end results stem from delivery of the optimum adjuvant treatment as identified by exact hosting. The Halsted radical mastectomy has actually been consigned to surgical background, yet it is unclear if principles gleaned from breast cancer can be theorized to intestines cancer cells [33].

Indirect evidence for a restorative impact has actually been inferred from researches looking at lymph node matters. If > 35 lymph nodes were collected compared to < 35 [the Intergroup Trial INT-0089 showed 5-year general survival raised from 51% to 71% for N2 illness 34] Given this was N2 condition, far better staging and stage movement could not totally discuss the results which showed premium survival to that of released tests making use of optimum adjuvant chemotherapy, suggesting a curative component. Other descriptions are feasible, e.g., high lymph node counts standing for good host inflammatory response, however it is most likely that lymphadenectomy is both prognostic as well as therapeutic, particularly in the anus where total mesorectal excision (TME) attains simultaneous local control and lymphadenectomy, with both components naturally inseparable. It is no surprise that when more mesenteric tissue is removed, LNH additionally boosts. In theory, this causes extra accurate hosting and also potential healing removal of entailed lymph nodes. For many of the surgical techniques described listed below, the greatest levels of proof are doing not have. It is as a result unclear whether the advantages of eliminating more cells surpass the increased operating time and also possible morbidity associated with these treatments. A thorough testimonial of medical practice is past the scope of this review, however salient issues are taken into consideration below as well as visitors are routed to other surgical standards [35], [36].

Side compartment reappearances, including the lateral lymph nodes, can be approximately 26.7% of the patterns of local reoccurrence [37], [38] and also demonstrated to be a factor that adversely affects survival adhering to surgery [37], [38]. When it expands within the side pelvic compartment, this can be partly a result of the high threat of incomplete tumour resection. Moore et al. [39] showed that pelvic sidewall reoccurrence was a strong component of insufficient resection ($p = 0.004$). Sagar et al. [40], in a collection of 40 patients that undertook abdominosacral resection for recurring anal cancer cells, showed that 15/20 (75%) patients with non-curative resections had side area reoccurrence.

4. CONCLUSION

There are several studies concerns that restrict the analysis of research studies seeking to assess the fringe benefit that prolonged lymphadenectomy offers over that provided by thorough conventional surgical treatment for colon cancer cells. The importance of intestines cancer lymph node hosting could not be over-emphasized. We have reviewed much of the disputes connected with this difficult location as well as offered advice regarding the logical application of added methods. In addition, while it could be really hoped that SLN mapping may help in conquering this trouble, this strategy elevates a lot more questions compared to it answers because of numerous reported technological protocols that limit a crucial assessment of this strategy.

REFERENCES

[1] Onitilo AA, Stankowski RV, Engel JM, Doi SA. Adequate lymph node recovery improves survival in colorectal cancer patients. J Surg Oncol. 2013; 107(8):828–834.

[2] Hashiguchi Y, Hase K, Ueno H, Mochizuki H, Kajiwara Y, Ichikura T, Yamamoto J. Prognostic significance of the number of lymph nodes examined in colon cancer surgery: clinical application beyond simple measurement. Ann Surg. 2010; 251(5):872–881.

[3] Chang GJ, Rodriguez-Bigas MA, Skibber JM, Moyer VA. Lymph node evaluation and survival after curative resection of colon cancer: systematic review. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2007; 99(6):433–441.

[4] Sauer I, Bacon HE. Influence of lateral spread of cancer of the rectum on radicability of operation and prognosis. Am J Surg. 1951;81(1):111–120. doi: 10.1016/0002-9610(51)90196-1.

[5] Hida J, Yasutomi M, Fujimoto K, Maruyama T, Okuno K, Shindo K. Does lateral lymph node dissection improve survival in rectal carcinoma? Examination of node metastases by the clearing method. J Am Coll Surg. 1997;184(5):475–480.

[6] Sugihara K, Moriya Y, Akasu T, Fujita S. Pelvic autonomic nerve preservation for patients with rectal carcinoma. Oncologic and functional outcome. Cancer. 1996;78(9):1871–1880.

[7] Takahashi T, Ueno M, Azekura K, Ohta H (2000) Lateral node dissection and total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer. Dis *Colon rectum* 43(10 Suppl):S59–S68

[8] Fang SH, Efron JE, Berho ME, Wexner SD. Dilemma of stage II colon cancer and decision making for adjuvant chemotherapy. J Am Coll Surg. 2014;219:1056–1069.

[9] Dienstmann R, Salazar R, Tabernero J. Personalizing colon cancer adjuvant therapy: selecting optimal treatments for individual patients. J Clin Oncol. 2015;33:1787–1796.

[10] Sirop S, Kanaan M, Korant A et al (2011) Detection and prognostic impact of micrometastasis in colorectal cancer. J Surg Oncol 103:534–537

[11] Faerden AE, Sjo OH, Bukholm IR et al (2011) Lymph node micrometastases and isolated tumor cells influence survival in stage I and II colon cancer. Dis Colon Rectum 54:200–206

[12] Ogino S, Nosho K, Irahara N et al (2010) Negative lymph node count is associated with survival of colorectal cancer patients, independent of tumoral molecular alterations and lymphocytic reaction. Am J Gastroenterol 105:420–433

[13] Papaziogas B, Ziogas D (2010) Searching for a cutoff value for lymph nodes retrieved as a quality control of surgery and pathology in colon cancer. Ann Surg Oncol 17:325–326

[14] Bilimoria KY, Stewart AK, Palis BE et al (2008) Adequacy and importance of lymph node evaluation for colon cancer in the elderly. J Am Coll Surg 206:247–254

[15] Shen SS, Haupt BX, Ro JY et al (2009) Number of lymph nodes examined and associated clinicopathologic factors in colorectal carcinoma. Arch Pathol Lab Med 133:781–786

[16] Quirke P, Williams GT, Ectors N, Ensari A, Piard F, Nagtegaal I. The future of the TNM staging system in colorectal cancer: time for a debate? Lancet Oncol. 2007;8:651–657.

[17] Nagtegaal ID, Quirke P, Schmoll HJ. Has the new TNM classification for colorectal cancer improved care? Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 2012;9:119–123.

[18] Sobin L, Wittekind C. TNM classification of malignant tumours. 6th ed. Hoboken: Wiley-Blackwell; 2002.

[19] Sobin L, Wittekind C. TNM classification of malignant tumours. 5th ed. Hoboken: Wiley-Blackwell; 1997.

[20] Wünsch K, Müller J, Jähnig H, Herrmann RA, Arnholdt HM, Märkl B. Shape is not associated with the origin of pericolonic tumor deposits. Am J Clin Pathol. 2010;133:388–394.

[21] Nagtegaal ID, Tot T, Jayne DG, McShane P, Nihlberg A, Marshall HC, Påhlman L, Brown JM, Guillou PJ, Quirke P. Lymph nodes, tumor deposits, and TNM: are we getting better? J Clin Oncol. 2011; 29:2487–2492.

[22] Howarth SM, Morgan MJ, Williams GT. The new (6th edition) TNM classification of colorectal cancer a stage too far (Abstract 081). Proceedings of the British Society of Gastroenterology Annual Meeting; Mar 21-23; UK. Hoboken: Wiley-Blackwell; 2004. p. Glasgow, 2004.

[23] Vennix S, Pelzers L, Bouvy N, Beets GL, Pierie JP, Wiggers T, Breukink S. Laparoscopic versus open total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2014; 4:CD005200.

[24] Han DP, Lu AG, Feng H, Wang PX, Cao QF, Zong YP, Feng B, Zheng MH. Long-term results of laparoscopy-assisted radical right hemicolectomy with D3 lymphadenectomy: clinical analysis with 177 cases. Int J Colorectal Dis. 2013;28:623–629.

[25] Shin JW, Amar AH, Kim SH, Kwak JM, Baek SJ, Cho JS, Kim J. Complete mesocolic excision with D3 lymph node dissection in laparoscopic colectomy for stages II and III colon cancer: long-term oncologic outcomes in 168 patients. Tech Coloproctol. 2014;18:795–803.

[26] West NP, Kennedy RH, Magro T, Luglio G, Sala S, Jenkins JT, Quirke P. Morphometric analysis and lymph node yield in laparoscopic complete mesocolic excision performed by supervised trainees. Br J Surg. 2014;101:1460–1467.

[27] Trinh BB, Jackson NR, Hauch AT, Hu T, Kandil E. Robotic versus laparoscopic colorectal surgery. JSLS. 2014; 18:pii: e2014.00187.

[28] Nordgård O, Oltedal S, Kørner H, Aasprong OG, Tjensvoll K, Gilje B, Heikkilä R. Quantitative RT-PCR detection of tumor cells in sentinel lymph nodes isolated from colon cancer patients with an ex vivo approach. Ann Surg. 2009;249:602–607.

[29] Tiernan JP, Ansari I, Hirst NA, Millner PA, Hughes TA, Jayne DG. Intra-operative tumour detection and staging in colorectal cancer surgery. Colorectal Dis. 2012;14:e510–e520.

[30] Bianchi PP, Ceriani C, Rottoli M, Torzilli G, Roncalli M, Spinelli A, Montorsi M. Laparoscopic lymphatic mapping and sentinel lymph node detection in colon cancer: technical aspects and preliminary results. Surg Endosc. 2007;21:1567–1571.

[31] Moriya Y, Hojo K, Sawada T, Koyama Y (1989) Significance of lateral node dissection for advanced rectal carcinoma at or below the peritoneal reflection. Dis *Colon rectum* 32(4):307–315

[32] Sigurdson ER. Lymph node dissection: is it diagnostic or therapeutic? J Clin Oncol. 2003;21:965–967.

[33] Fisher B. From Halsted to prevention and beyond: advances in the management of breast cancer during the twentieth century. Eur J Cancer. 1999;35:1963–1973.

[34] Le Voyer TE, Sigurdson ER, Hanlon AL, Mayer RJ, Macdonald JS, Catalano PJ, Haller DG. Colon cancer survival is associated with increasing number of lymph nodes analyzed: a secondary survey of intergroup trial INT-0089. J Clin Oncol. 2003;21:2912–2919. [

[35] Nelson H, Petrelli N, Carlin A, Couture J, Fleshman J, Guillem J, Miedema B, Ota D, Sargent D. Guidelines 2000 for colon and rectal cancer surgery. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2001;93:583–596.

[36] Smith AJ, Driman DK, Spithoff K, Hunter A, McLeod RS, Simunovic M, Langer B. Guideline for optimization of colorectal cancer surgery and pathology. J Surg Oncol. 2010;101:5–12.

[37] Kabashima A, Sakaguchi Y, Okita K, Yamamura S, Ojima Y, Nishizaki T, Tashiro H, Matsuzaka T. Efficacy of tegafur/uracil plus oral leucovorin therapy for advanced or recurrent colorectal cancer. Gan To Kagaku Ryoho. 2005;32(12):1935–1938.

[38] Kusters M, van de Velde CJ, Beets-Tan RG, Akasu T, Fujita S, Yamamoto S, Moriya Y. Patterns of local recurrence in rectal cancer: a single-center experience. Ann Surg Oncol. 2009;16(2):289–296. doi: 10.1245/s10434-008-0223-5.

[39] Sagar PM, Gonsalves S, Heath RM, Phillips N, Chalmers AG. Composite abdominosacral resection for recurrent rectal cancer. Br J Surg. 2009;96(2):191–196. doi: 10.1002/bjs.6464.

[40] Ferenschild FT, Vermaas M, Verhoef C, Dwarkasing RS, Eggermont AM, de Wilt JH. Abdominosacral resection for locally advanced and recurrent rectal cancer. Br J Surg. 2009;96(11):1341–1347. doi: 10.1002/bjs.6695.